· · · · · ·			
	1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
$\bigcirc$	1 2		
	3	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE	
	4		
	5	IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF	
	6	ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE	CV 6417
	7	LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND	
	8	SOURCE	SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER OF
	9		REFERENCE
	10		
	11	HSR INVOLVED: None.	
	12	DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY: The Court issues a supplemental order of reference to the	
	13	Special Master.	
	14	NUMBER OF PAGES: 4.	
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18	By Order dated January 27, 1994, the Court found that the examination of the claims	
	19	for water rights of, inter alia, the Hopi Tribe and the Navajo Nation merited priority in this	
	20	adjudication. Accordingly, pursuant to A.R.S. § 45-256 the Arizona Department of Water	
	21	Resources (ADWR) was requested to prepare a hydrographic survey report (HSR) for the	
	22		
	23	water right claims asserted by and on behalf of the Hopi Tribe with respect to lands located	
	24	within two non-contiguous geographic areas known as the 1882 Executive Order	
	25	Reservation and the 1934 Act Reservation (collectively, the "Hopi Reservation"). On	
	26	December 15, 2015, ADWR issued a final HSR for the Hopi Reservation (Hopi HSR).	
$\frown$	27		
	28		
		1	

Objections have been filed to the Hopi HSR and the process to determine the claimed water rights on the Hopi Reservation has been initiated.

During the period of the preparation of the Hopi HSR, this Court considered a number of legal issues related to the claims asserted by and on behalf of the Hopi Tribe. While a number of those issues were decided pursuant to this Court's Order dated January 25, 2016, there remain issues that are the subject of a motion for reconsideration, were remanded to the Special Master for additional findings of fact and conclusions of law, and could not be decided due to the absence of a HSR and factual disputes.

The unresolved issues include claims for water rights for land owned by or on behalf of the Hopi Tribe located outside the boundaries of the Hopi Reservation. This land has been generally referred to as the Hopi Ranches and the Hopi Industrial Park. The Hopi Tribe also owns, either legally or beneficially, additional land that is not included within the Hopi Ranches, the Hopi Industrial Park or the Hopi Reservation. To complete the adjudication of water rights held by or on behalf of the Hopi Tribe, the Hopi Tribe and the United States must amend existing statements of claimant regarding their claims for water rights for land outside the boundaries of the Hopi Reservation. Thereafter, ADWR must prepare a hydrographic survey report pursuant to A.R.S. §45-256.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

The final set of legal issues initiated by the Court concerned the priority of water rights claimed by the Navajo Nation for water resources shared by both tribes. After lengthy proceedings, the Special Master issued a report in CV 6417-201 indicating that a determination could not be made with respect to the claims of the Navajo Nation due to genuine disputes about material facts, an insufficiently developed factual record and the concern that the consideration of at least one approach advocated by a party could have

2

exceeded the Court's order of reference. In this Court's Order dated January 25, 2016, the Court agreed that issues raised concerning the Navajo Nation's water rights were not appropriate for summary judgement.

The Special Master has appropriately taken the initial action to begin the process necessary to resolve the remainder of the claims for and on behalf of the Hopi Tribe and the claims of the Navajo Nation. In the general case CV 6417 and in the specific case CV 6417-201, this Court has issued orders that refer specific factual and legal issues to the Special Master. To further the efficiency of the adjudication and to address concerns raised by a limited number of the parties regarding the scope of the Special Master's authority, it is appropriate to reiterate that this Court has conveyed to the Special Master by its Order dated July 20, 2015 ("Initial Order of Reference"), those broad powers necessary to define the water rights of claimants in the general adjudication of the Little Colorado River System and Source for the purposes of filing a report with this Court under Rule 53(g) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. This Order shall not be interpreted to limit the authority conveyed in the Initial Order of Reference.

## IT IS ORDERED:

A. In order to prepare and file with the Court a report concerning the remainder of the claims for and on behalf of the Hopi Tribe and the Navajo Nation, the Special Master shall organize and conduct the necessary proceedings and hearings, which shall include, but are not limited to, directing the filing of amended statements of claimant, considering motions with respect to such amended statements of claims, and exercising the Special Master's authority under A.R.S. §45-256(A) to request technical assistance from Arizona

Department of Water Resources. The Special Master may provide guidelines for such technical assistance that may include a designation of the claims, the watershed, or the geographic area to be considered in the hydrographic survey report to be prepared by the Arizona Department of Water Resources. Further, as required by A.R.S. §45-257(A) the Special Master shall conduct hearings to determine the water rights of the claimants included in the final the hydrographic survey reports.

B. This Court may from time to time reserve to itself consideration of substantive legal issues in these proceedings upon its own motion, reference of the Special Master or motion of the parties.

C. The Special Master shall submit findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations in a single report or a series of report to the Court.

Dated: July 15, 2016

Mark H. Brain Judge of the Superior Court

On July <u>/</u>, 2016, the original of the
foregoing was sent to the Clerk of the Apache
County Superior Court for filing and
distributing a copy to all persons listed on the
court approved mailing list for Contested Case
No. CV 6417.

1 Hanis