

COPY

6417-033-019552

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE
WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

APACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT
FILED

No. 6417

DOCKETED ☒

RECOMMENDED FORM
FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE
Hydrographic Survey Report for the
Silver Creek Watershed

MAY 28 1991
AT 9 O'CLOCK
RICHARD D. LYNKE, CLERK
DEPUTY

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033- 51 - BDA - 001
(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: United States of America
Objector's Address: P.O. Box 607, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
Objector's Telephone No.: (505) 766 - 1060
Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Watershed):

033- 42 - 088

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):

39-

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

Please check
appropriate box(es)


- ☐ 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- ☒ 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- ☒ 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- ☐ 4. I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 5. I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- ☒ 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- ☒ 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked and please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY
NUMBER

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S)

I hereby make this Objection on this 28TH day of May, 1991.


Signature of Objector

FOR: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

(If in a representative capacity)

STATE OF New Mexico) VERIFICATION
COUNTY OF Bernalillo)(Must be completed by Objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except for those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.


Signature of Objector

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 28th day of May, 1991.

{SEAL}


Notary Public for the State of New Mexico
Residing at Albuquerque
My commission expires 7-21-92


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(Must be completed if you object to another Claimant's watershed file report. Does not need to be completed if you file an Objection to your own watershed file report or to information contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 28th day of May, 1991, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

03351BDA 001

Name: SOLOMON, BERT D. and GERTRUDE
Address: HC 32 BOX 503
SHOW LOW AZ 85901


(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

WFR #:

033- 51 - BDA - 001

2. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.
3. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.
8. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.
9. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.

COPY

6417-033-03007

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

APACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT

FILED

DOCKETED ☒

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE
WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

MAY 28 1991

No. 6417 07 O'CLOCK 4 11
RICHARD D. LUKE, CLERK
c 119, DEPUTY

RECOMMENDED FORM
FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE
Hydrographic Survey Report for the
Silver Creek Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033- 051 - BDA - 001
(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: United States of America
Objector's Address: P.O. Box 607, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103
Objector's Telephone No.: (505) 766 - 1060
Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Watershed):

033- 42 - 088 -

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):

39-

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

Please check
appropriate box(es)


- [xx] 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- [xx] 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- [] 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- [xx] 4. I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 5. I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked and please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY
NUMBER

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S)


I hereby make this Objection on this 28 day of May, 1991.


Signature of Objector

FOR: United States of America
(If in a representative capacity)


STATE OF New Mexico } VERIFICATION
COUNTY OF Bernalillo } (Must be completed by Objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except for those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.


Signature of Objector

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 28 day of May, 1991.

{SEAL}


Notary Public for the State of New Mexico
Residing at Albuquerque
My commission expires 10/3/93


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(Must be completed if you object to another Claimant's watershed file report. Does not need to be completed if you file an Objection to your own watershed file report or to information contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 28th day of May, 1991, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

SOLOMON, BERT D. and GERTRUDE
HC 32 BOX 503
SHOW LOW AZ 85901

03351BDA 001


(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

WFR #:

033- 051 - BDA - 001

1. Decree (20-97173) applies to this property as well as that owned by Gary and Elladene Feezor. No effort is made to break out the details of the decree between the two parties.
2. The historical record provides no evidence to substantiate the claimed priority date. The date should be changed to reflect the date that water was first used.

The quantity or volume of use is based on 1.3 cfs continuous over an entire year. This is not defensible.
4. D2 is a common diversion for both the north and the south ditches. For this property, a second point of diversion should be established for the north ditch.
5. The service area claimed by Solomon in filing 39-80957 does not include the actual use area identified by ADWR.
8. The historical record provides no evidence to substantiate the claimed priority date. The Arizona Department of Water Resources should amend the date that they gave this claim and provide a date that reflects the date that water was first used.

The decree (20-97173) did not specify a priority date. The pre-adjudication filings do not cover the current service area.
9. The average efficient water duty of 5.7 acre-ft/acre estimated by ADWR is unreasonable. The maximum annual water duty estimated for individual landowner by ADWR is too high. Water duty should be 1.3 acre-ft/acre.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

APACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT
FILED

NO. _____ DOCKETED ☒

MAY 28 1991

AT 9 O'CLOCK AM
RICHARD D. LUPKE, CLERK
DEPUTY

No. 6417

RECOMMENDED FORM
FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE
Hydrographic Survey Report for the
Silver Creek Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

=====

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report No. 033-51-BDA -001
(Please insert no.)

=====

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: Salt River Project
Objector's Address: Post Office Box 52025
Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025
Objector's Telephone No: (602) 236-2210
Objector's Watershed File Report No. (If the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Watershed): 033 - - -

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):
39- 82193 - 82206
39- 87343

=====

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection in the following page.

1. I object to the description of LAND OWNERSHIP
2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
4. I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
- X 9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

My reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the lines listed above;
please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary).

SEE ATTACHMENT 1

I hereby make this objection on this 14th day of May, 1991.

David C. Roberts

Signature of Objector

FOR: Salt River Project
(if in a representative capacity)

STATE OF Arizona
COUNTY OF Maricopa

VERIFICATION


(Must be completed by Objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except for those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

David C. Roberts

Signature of Objector

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 14th day of May, 1991.



Margaret A. Sullivan

Notary Public for the State of Arizona

Residing at Maricopa County

My commission expires _____

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(Must be completed if you object to another Claimant's watershed file report.
Does not need to be completed if you file an Objection to your own watershed
file report or to information contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey
Report.)

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 28th day of May, 1991 postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: SOLOMON, BERT D.

Address: HC 32 BOX 503

SHOW LOW, AZ 85901

David C. Roberts

(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

ATTACHMENT 1

WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantities of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The methods used by DWR for determining quantities of use for agricultural, recreational and other irrigation PWRs are inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; these methods are also technically inaccurate. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's methods of quantification for these types of PWRs, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to these methods, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference. (This objection applies to: IR001.)

EXCERPT FROM
SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO
VOLUME 1 OF THE SILVER CREEK HSR

IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

Introduction

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, there are several technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including estimates of relative humidity, wind, evapotranspiration (ET) for pine trees, pasture peak use and effective precipitation. Although these problems are relatively small, the effect of these errors is magnified since consumptive use is divided by irrigation efficiency to calculate the water duty for irrigated land.

Second, the efficiency estimates used by DWR are inappropriate for the reasons set forth below in that section of the objections. Again, the effect of even a small error in efficiency estimates can result in a larger error in the resulting water duty.

Third, the irrigation water duties computed by DWR are inaccurate as a result of the technical errors in consumptive use and efficiency estimates discussed above and, further, are inconsistent with Arizona water law. The "maximum annual" and "average efficient" quantification methods employed by DWR do not properly estimate actual historic beneficial use as required by statute.

These objections are more fully set forth in the following sections.

Relative Humidity

p. A-4, lines 23-25

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of relative humidity from Winslow when data for the Show Low, Snowflake and Snowflake 15W weather stations can be converted to mean minimum relative humidity through the use of the 6AM and 6PM estimates adjusted with the assistance of "Useful Arizona Climatic Graphs and Data, Series #7."

Wind

p. A-4, lines 26-32

The Salt River Project object's to DWR's use of wind travel data at a height of 2 feet (Snowflake #15) and windspeed data at a height of 10 meters (Winslow) without converting to a 2 meter height as required by FAO Paper 24.¹

¹The wind travel data for Snowflake can be adjusted by use of the formula:

$$WT_2 = WR_{.61}(2/0.61)^2 = 1.27 WT_{.61}$$

The windspeed data for Winslow can be adjusted by use of the formula:

$$W_2 = W_{10}(2/10)^2 = 0.72 W_{10}$$

Evapotranspiration for Pine Trees

p. A-6, Table A-2; p. A-10, Table A-4

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's reporting of inexplicably high evapotranspiration (consumptive use) values for pine trees as compared to all other crops. DWR has reported Christmas tree or pine tree consumptive use in its various management plans for Active Management Areas at about one-half of the value shown in Table A-2.

Pasture Peak Use

p. A-5, lines 30-31; p. A-7, Fig. A-1; p. A-8, Fig. A-2

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's reporting of pasture peak use that exceeds corn peak use. Corn peak use should be higher than pasture since it is taller and has a crop coefficient (kc) that is higher than that of pasture at peak use.

Effective Precipitation

p. A-9, lines 1-31

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to report how it estimates effective precipitation during the non-growing season. The Salt River Project also objects to the use of a 3-inch rather than 4-inch depth of irrigation water application in its estimation of growing season effective precipitation for alfalfa. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent probability indicates that average effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation requirement. This means that in years of below-average precipitation, irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

Efficiency Estimates

pp. A-10 through A-13; pp. A-31 through A-65

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimates of efficiencies for water uses served by irrigation districts and major surface water diverters where average rates of diversion from a few measurements are used to calculate total deliveries and no consideration is given to supplemental supplies obtained by individual users. The Salt River Project also objects to the failure of DWR to include conveyance losses where appropriate in efficiency estimates in the "second procedure," which employs categories of systems.

Irrigation Water Duties

pp. 101 through 125; pp. A-3 through A-65

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation of water duty under both the "maximum annual" and "average efficient" methods. In the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141.(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of water"). Neither the "maximum annual" or "average efficient" quantification methods employed by DWR properly estimate actual historic beneficial use as required by law.

Maximum Annual Quantification

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimates of maximum annual water duty since inaccurate crop irrigation requirements, low consumptive use crops or overly high efficiency estimates are used to calculate maximum annual water duty. An accurate estimate of maximum annual water duty is essential since that value will closely approximate the quantity of actual historic beneficial use. This objection applies to all irrigation (IR) and most recreation (RC) PWRs.

In addition, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to report maximum annual water duties at all for other (OT) and some recreation-related (RC) irrigation uses. The maximum annual water duties for these uses must be reported by DWR for consideration by the Master in determining entitlements.

Average Efficient Quantification

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's reporting of average efficient water duties in WFRs for irrigation uses since the methodology and results are inconsistent with Arizona law. In determining average efficient water duties, DWR uses the Arizona Groundwater Code Method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC). The ASFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historic information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. The use of the ASFC method to calculate water entitlements is objectionable for the following reasons.

First, the ASFC concept is entirely inconsistent with Arizona's doctrine of prior appropriation, which requires that the extent of an appropriator's water right be measured according to actual, rather than average, water use. Under the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet his needs.

Additionally, under the ASFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged between appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHT TO USE
WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

NO. 6417

RECOMMENDED FORM
FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE
Hydrographic Survey Report for the
Silver Creek Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report No. 033- - - - -
(Please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: Salt River Project
Objector's Address: Post Office Box 52025
Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025
Objector's Telephone No: (602) 236-2210
Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Watershed):
033- - - - -

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):

39- 82193 - 82206
39- 87343

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories): Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

1. I object to the description of LAND OWNERSHIP
2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
4. I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
- X 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

My reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the lines listed above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary).

See attached Salt River Project Objections to the Hydrographic Survey Report for the Silver Creek Watershed Volume 1: General Assessment.

I hereby make this objection on this 24th day of May, 1991.

David C. Blatz

Signature of Objector

FOR: Salt River Project
(if in a representative capacity)

STATE OF Arizona
COUNTY OF Maricopa

VERIFICATION

(Must be completed by Objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except for those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

David C. Blatz

Signature of Objector

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 24th day of May, 1991.



Linda Jepperson
Notary Public for the State of Arizona
Residing at Maricopa County
My commission expires _____

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(Must be completed if you object to another Claimant's watershed file report. Does not need to be completed if you file an Objection to your own watershed file report or to information contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the ____ day of ____, 199__ postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: _____

Address: _____

(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

6417-033- 0076

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHEAPACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT
FILEDNO. _____ DOCKETED ☒

MAY 24 1991

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE
WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCENo. 6417 O'CLOCK 1:00 P.M.
RICHARD D. LUPKE, CLERK
AP DEPUT

COPY

RECOMMENDED FORM
FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE
Hydrographic Survey Report for the
Silver Creek Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033- 51 - BDA - 001
(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: Arizona State Land Department
 Objector's Address: 1616 West Adams
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
 Objector's Telephone No.: (602) 542-3500
 Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Watershed):
 033- _____

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):
 39- _____

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

Please check
appropriate box(es)

- ☐ 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- ☒ 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- ☐ 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- ☐ 4. I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 5. I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- ☒ 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY
NUMBER

2 Claimed amount of water is excessive
Claimed priority date is inappropriate

9 Description is incomplete because it does not include a diversion rate

I hereby make this Objection on this 24th day of May, 199 1.

M. Bonnell
STATE LAND COMMISSIONER

Signature of Objector

FOR: STATE OF ARIZONA (State Land Department)
(if in a representative capacity)

STATE OF ARIZONA
COUNTY OF MARICOPA

VERIFICATION

(Must be completed by Objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except for those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

M. Bonnell
STATE LAND COMMISSIONER

Signature of Objector

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 24th day of May, 199 1.

(SEAL)



Margaret L. Brocato
Notary Public for the State of ARIZONA
Residing at 1616 W. Adams, Phoenix, Arizona 85007
My commission expires _____

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(Must be completed if you object to another Claimant's watershed file report.
Does not need to be completed if you file an Objection to your own watershed
file report or to information contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey
Report.)

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 24th day of May, 199 1, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: Bert D. Solomon
Address: HC 32 Box 503
Show Low, Arizona 85901

Cynthia M. Chandelley
(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache, County Courthouse, P. O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

6417-033-03286

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
DOCKETED
MAY 9 1991

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE
WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. 6417

COPY

RECOMMENDED FORM
FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE
Hydrographic Survey Report for the
Silver Creek Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033- 51 - BDA - 001
(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: Arizona Game & Fish Commission
Objector's Address: 2222 West Greenway Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85023
Objector's Telephone No.: (602) 942-3000
Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Watershed):
033- - -

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):
39- - -

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

Please check
appropriate box(es)

- ☐ 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- ☒ 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- ☐ 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- ☐ 4. I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 5. I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- ☒ 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
- ☐ 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY
NUMBER

2 Claimed amount of water is excessive
Claimed priority date is inappropriate

9 Description is incomplete because it does not include a diversion rate

I hereby make this Objection on this 23rd day of May, 199 1. Arizona Game & Fish Commission

Duane L. Shroufe
Signature of Objector

Duane L. Shroufe,

FOR: Secretary to the Commission
(If in a representative capacity)

STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

VERIFICATION

(Must be completed by Objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except for those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Duane L. Shroufe
Signature of Objector

Duane L. Shroufe,
Secretary to the Commission

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 23rd day of May, 199 1.

(SEAL)

Marcia E. Heister
Notary Public for the State of ARIZONA

Residing at 2102 W. Wallia Dr., Phoenix
My commission expires Mar. 3, 1995

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

(Must be completed if you object to another Claimant's watershed file report. Does not need to be completed if you file an Objection to your own watershed file report or to information contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 29th day of May, 199 1, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: Bert D. Solomon

Address: HC 32 Box 503

Show Low, Arizona 85901

Price Leavell
(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P. O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.